STATE OF ARIZONA FILED

JAN 27 2005

1

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

1	5

26

STATE OF ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

DEPT OF JUSTINANCE

In the Matter of:

MATTHEW NATHAN ROSE,

Respondent.

No. 04A-210-INS

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

On December 23, 2004, the Arizona Department of Insurance ("Department") issued a Notice of Hearing in the above-captioned matter, a copy of which is attached and incorporated by this reference. The Notice required Matthew Nathan Rose ("Respondent") to provide a written answer to the allegations set forth in the Notice within twenty days of the issuance of the Notice. As of this date, Respondent has failed to file an answer.

On January 27, 2005, counsel for the Department filed a Request for Default and Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order. As of this date, Respondent has not responded to the Department's request. Pursuant to A.A.C. R20-6-106(D), a party that fails to file an answer within the time provided shall be deemed to be in default and one or more of the allegations in the Notice of Hearing may be deemed to be admitted.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Notice was proper.
- 2. Respondent is in default.
- 3. The allegations in the Notice are deemed admitted.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The conduct alleged in the Notice constitutes grounds for the Director to suspend, revoke or refuse to renew Respondent's license to transact insurance in Arizona, pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-295(A).

1 ORDER 2 IT IS ORDERED: 3 The insurance license held by Respondent is revoked, effective upon the issuance of 1. 4 this Order. 5 2. The hearing set for February 9, 2005, at 9:00 a.m. shall be vacated. DATED this 27th day of January, 2005. 6 7 8 CHRISTINA URIAS, Director Arizona Department of Insurance 9 10 COPY of the foregoing mailed this _27th day of <u>January</u>, 2005, to: 11 Lewis D. Kowal, Administrative Law Judge 12 Office of Administrative Hearings 1400 West Washington, Suite 101 13 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 14 Mary E. Kosinski Assistant Attorney General 15 127t West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 16 Catherine M. O'Neil, Consumer Legal Affairs Officer 17 Scott Greenberg, Chief Operating Officer Arnold Sniegowski, Investigations Supervisor 18 Cheryl Hawley, Investigator Arizona Department of Insurance 19 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 210 Phoenix, Arizona 85018 20 AND COPY MAILED SAME DATE by 21 Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to: 22 Matthew Nathan Rose 12805 W. Corrine Drive 23 El Mirage, AZ 85335 Respondent 24 Usvey Benton 887297; CPA04-329 25 26

PAD / CPA
DEC 2 7 2004
RECEIVED

MATTHEW NATHAN ROSE

In the Matter of:

STATE OF ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

STATE OF ARIZONA FILED

DEC 23 2004

DEPT OF INSURANCE BY

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

11

10

12

14

13

1516

17

18

19

21

20

23

22

2425

23

No. 04A-210-INS

NOTICE OF HEARING

Respondent.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") §§ 20-161 through and including 20-165, 41-1092 *et seq*. through and including 41-1066, and 41-1092.01, the above-captioned matter will be heard before the Director of Insurance of the State of Arizona (the "Director") or her duly designated representative, on the __9th__ day of __February__, 2005, at _9:00 __a.m., at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 1400 West Washington, Suite 101, Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (the "Hearing").

Motions to continue this matter shall be made in writing to the Administrative Law Judge not less than fifteen (15) days prior to the date set for hearing. A copy of any motion to continue shall be mailed or hand-delivered to the opposing party on the same date of filing with the Office of Administrative Hearings.

A.R.S. § 20-164(B) entitles any person affected by this Hearing to appear in person and by counsel, to be present during the giving of all evidence, to have a reasonable opportunity to inspect all documentary evidence, to examine witnesses, to present supporting evidence in support of his interests, and to have subpoenas issued by the Administrative Law Judge to compel attendance of witnesses and production of evidence.

If Respondent is represented by counsel, the attorney shall be licensed to practice law in the State of Arizona or, if Respondent is an insurer, they may be represented by a corporate officer, pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-161(B)

26

Questions concerning this Notice of Hearing should be directed to Assistant Attorney General Mary E. Kosinski, (602) 542-8830, 1275 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2926.

Pursuant to A.R.S. §41-1092.07(E), a clear and accurate record of the proceedings will be made by a court reporter or by electronic means. Any party that requests a transcript of the proceeding shall pay the cost of the transcript to the court reporter or other transcriber.

NOTICE OF APPLICABLE RULES

On January 23, 1992, the Arizona Department of Insurance adopted A.A.C. R20-6-101 through R20-6-115, setting forth the rules of practice and procedure applicable in contested cases before the Director of Insurance. The hearing will be conducted pursuant to these rules.

PURSUANT TO A.A.C. R20-6-106, RESPONDENT SHALL FILE A WRITTEN

ANSWER WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER ISSUANCE OF THIS NOTICE OF HEARING AND

SHALL MAIL OR DELIVER A COPY OF THE ANSWER TO THE ASSISTANT ATTORNEY

GENERAL DESIGNATED ABOVE. THE ANSWER SHALL STATE RESPONDENT'S

POSITION OR DEFENSE AND SHALL SPECIFICALLY ADMIT OR DENY EACH

ASSERTION IN THE NOTICE OF HEARING. ANY ASSERTION NOT DENIED SHALL BE

DEEMED TO BE ADMITTED. ANY DEFENSE NOT RAISED IN THE ANSWER SHALL BE

DEEMED WAIVED. IF AN ANSWER IS NOT TIMELY FILED, RESPONDENT SHALL BE

DEEMED IN DEFAULT AND THE DIRECTOR MAY DEEM THE ALLEGATIONS ARE

TRUE, AND TAKE WHATEVER ACTION IS APPROPRIATE, INCLUDING SUSPENSION,

REVOCATION, DENIAL OF A LICENSE, OR RENEWAL OF A LICENSE, IMPOSITION OF A

CIVIL PENALTY AND/OR ORDER RESTITUTION TO ANY PARTY INJURED.

PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES MAY REQUEST REASONABLE

ACCOMMODATIONS SUCH AS INTERPRETERS, ALTERNATIVE FORMATS, OR

ASSISTANCE WITH PHYSICAL ACCESSIBILITY. REQUESTS FOR ACCOMMODATIONS

SHOULD BE MADE AS EARLY AS POSSIBLE TO ALLOW TIME TO ARRANGE THE

ACCOMMODATIONS. IF YOU REQUIRE ACCOMMODATIONS, PLEASE CONTACT THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AT (602) 542-9826.

The allegations supporting this Notice of Hearing are as follows:

- 1. Matthew Nathan Rose ("Rose") is currently and was at all material times licensed as a resident Life and Accident/Health producer, Arizona license number 155616, which expires on June 30, 2005.
- 2. Rose's residence and business address of record with the Department is 4849 E. Roosevelt, #1120, Phoenix, Arizona 85008.
- 3. On June 3, 2004, the Department received a complaint from Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Arizona ("BCBSAZ") alleging that Rose made untruthful remarks about BCBSAZ and its individual insurance products. BCBSAZ provided the Department with an e-mail sent by Rose to John Antanies dated May 10, 2004 showing that Rose made untrue statements regarding the rate increases of BCBSAZ policies when compared to National Association for the Self-Employed ("NASE") policies.
- 4. On June 9, 2004, the Department sent a letter to Rose asking that he respond to the allegations and issues raised by the BCBSAZ complaint and to provide documentation to support the statements made in the May 10, 2004 e-mail to John Antanies.
- 5. On July 1 and 7, 2004, Rose represented to the Department that all the statements made in his e-mail were the result of information and documentation he received in training from NASE. Rose further represented that he had only solicited for The MEGA Life and Health Insurance Company ("MEGA") ¹.
- 6. On July 8, 2004, the Department spoke with Jeanne Duke, Vice President, Product Development of UGA Association of Field Services ("UGA"), a division of MEGA. She stated that

¹ MEGA provides the coverage offered by NASE.

MEGA has a standard training procedure in which they stress the importance of not comparing coverages of other companies to consumers.

- 7. On July 23, 2004, the Department received a letter from Rose wherein he attempted to explain each untruthful comment referenced in the BCBSAZ complaint. Rose also provided printed information he received during his training with NASE but did not provide any documentation to support the statements made in his May 10, 2004 e-mail to John Antanies.
- 8. On August 20, 2004, the Department received a copy of the training materials used by UGA to train its Arizona agents. A portion of the materials covers advertising guidelines and unfair and deceptive trade practices.
- 9. On September 16, 2004, the Department contacted Rose informing him of its investigatory conclusions and that he needed to update his address with the Department. Rose's current residential and business address is: 12805 W. Corrine Drive, El Mirage, Arizona 85335. Rose has not executed a change of address form with the Department.
- 10. On September 24, 2004, the Department contacted John Antanies regarding the email sent to him by Rose on May 10, 2004. John Antanies provided BCBSAZ with Rose's e-mail after he purchased a policy with BCBSAZ.
- On October 7, 2004, the Department received further information from BCBSAZ in response to its request stating that they received a copy of Rose's e-mail from Tony Lehrman, an independent broker with a group in Tucson, Arizona.
- 12. BCBSAZ rate filings made with the Department, effective May 10, 2004, show rate increases far below the increase alleged by Rose.
- 13. On October 14, 2004, MEGA provided the Department with further information regarding Rose and its position with regard to Rose's e-mail to John Antanies on May 10, 2004. MEGA provided the applicable product brochures for the health benefit plans Rose likely provided to John Antanies. MEGA states that Rose's representation regarding MEGA rate increases is an inaccurate advertisement and not approved by MEGA.

- 14. On October 5, 2004, Rose contacted the Department in response to a request for further information stating that the plan he offered John Antanies was the Gold Program but was unclear which particular riders had been discussed.
- 15. Respondent's conduct, as alleged above, constitutes an unfair trade practice, a violation of A.R.S. § 20-442.
- 16. Respondent's conduct, as alleged above, constitutes misrepresentations and false advertising of policies, a violation of A.R.S. § 20-443.
- 17. Respondent's conduct, as alleged above, constitutes misrepresentation in the sale of insurance, a violation of A.R.S. § 20-443.01(A).
- 18. Respondent's conduct, as alleged above, constitutes false or deceptive advertising of insurance in the form of a letter, a violation of A.R.S. § 20-444(A).
- 19. Respondent's conduct, as alleged above, constitutes using disparaging comparisons or statements, a violation of Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") R20-6-201(J).
- 20. Respondent's conduct, as alleged above, constitutes failure to notify the director in writing within 30 days of any change in a residential or business address, in violation of A.R.S. § 20-286(C)(1).
- 21. Respondent's conduct, as alleged above, constitutes the violation of any provision of Title 20, or any rule, subpoena or order of the Director, within the meaning of A.R.S. § 20-295(A)(2).
- 22. Grounds exist to suspend, revoke or refuse to renew Respondent's resident Life and Accident/Health producer's license and/or impose a civil penalty, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 20-295(A) and (F).

WHEREFORE, if after hearing the Director finds that one or more of the above-described allegations are supported by the evidence, the Director may suspend, revoke or refuse to renew Respondent's resident Life and Accident/Health producer's license, and/or impose a civil penalty, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 20-295(A) and (F).

1 Pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-150, the Director of Insurance delegates the authority vested in the 2 Director of Insurance of the State of Arizona to the Director of the Office of Administrative 3 Hearings or her designee to preside over the hearing of this matter as the Administrative Law Judge. to make written recommendations to the Director of Insurance consisting of proposed findings of 4 5 fact, proposed conclusions of law, and a proposed order. This delegation does not include delegation of the authority of the Director of Insurance to make the order on hearing or other final decision in 6 7 this matter. 8 Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.01, your hearing will be conducted through the Office of 9 Administrative Hearings, an independent agency. Please find enclosed a copy of the procedures to 10 be followed. DATED this 22 day of December, 2004. 11 12 13 Christina Urias, Director 14 Arizona Department of Insurance 15 COPY of the foregoing mailed this 16 23rd of December , 2004, to: 17 Lewis D. Kowal, Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings 18 1400 W. Washington, Suite 101 Phoenix, Arizona 58007 19 Catherine M. O'Neil, Consumer Legal Affairs Officer 20 Scott Greenberg, Chief Operating Officer Arnold Sniegowski, Investigations Supervisor 21 Bob Hill, Investigator Arizona Department of Insurance 22 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 210 Phoenix, Arizona 85018 23 Mary E. Kosinski 24 Assistant Attorney General 1275 West Washington Street 25 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Attorney for the Arizona Department of Insurance

26

AND COPY MAILED SAME DATE by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to:

Matthew Nathan Rose 12805 W. Corrine Drive El Mirage, AZ 85335 Respondent